

The Application of Cognitive Linguistics in Interpreting Characters' Psychology in English Literature

Kunlun He^{1,3,*}, Tiantian Feng^{1,3}, Jie Pan^{2,3}

¹School of Primary Education, Shanghai Normal University Tianhua College, Shanghai 201815, Shanghai, China

²School of General Education, Shanghai Normal University Tianhua College, Shanghai 201815, Shanghai, China

³College of Education, Northern Arizona University, Arizona 86001, USA

Corresponding author: Kunlun He (e-mail: m2023-666@126.com).

ABSTRACT The inner thoughts of characters in English literary works are embedded in textual details such as dialogues, actions and environmental descriptions. Traditional literary analysis mostly relies on superficial plotlines and subjective aesthetic judgments, which easily leads to subjectively biased interpretations and vague analytical logic. Centered on universal human cognitive mechanisms, cognitive linguistics encompasses five core sub-theories: Conceptual Metaphor Theory, Mental Space Theory, Figure-Ground Theory, Conceptual Integration Theory and Prototype Theory. Taking human cognitive thinking patterns as an objective tool for text interpretation, this theoretical system can construct a complete analytical path from linguistic symbols to characters' subconsciousness, emotions and motivations. Based on the core theories of cognitive linguistics, this paper designs a standardized process for analyzing characters' psychology with case studies of classic British and American novels. Five sets of data tables are adopted to quantitatively compare the interpretative differences between traditional literary analysis and cognitive linguistic analysis, which clearly demonstrate the practical value of cognitive linguistics in capturing implicit emotions of characters, exploring deep-seated psychological motivations and unifying the logic of textual interpretation. Balancing academic rigor and accessible expression, this paper adopts clear subjects for all sentences and short sentences to decompose complex logic, lowering the threshold for understanding professional theories. The research results prove that introducing cognitive linguistics into the analysis of characters in English literature can reduce subjective bias in literary interpretation, and provide an objective, applicable and repeatable analytical paradigm for classroom teaching and literary criticism research of English literature.

INDEX TERMS Cognitive Linguistics, English Literature, Characters' Psychology, Text Interpretation, Cognitive Mechanism

I. INTRODUCTION

A. RESEARCH BACKGROUND

ONE of the core tasks of literary reading is to comprehend the authentic inner world of literary characters [1], [2]. English literature covers various genres including poetry, full-length novels, short stories and dramas, whose characters differ in identity, life experience and personality traits [3]. Readers can hardly distinguish the gap between characters' verbal expressions and their genuine inner thoughts merely through literal plotlines. Many literary readers and English majors in universities face prominent limitations when analyzing characters' psychology [4].

Firstly, most readers tend to subjectively infer characters' emotions based on personal life experience without unified analytical criteria [5], [6]. Different readers may draw completely contradictory psychological evaluations of the same fictional character, and their analyses lack objective sup-

port. Secondly, traditional literary criticism tools focus on rhetoric, historical context and authors' biographies, rarely exploring the operational modes of characters' thinking behind texts [7]. Researchers struggle to explain "why characters generate such emotions" and "what cognitive patterns give rise to characters' subconscious conflicts". Thirdly, standardized analytical procedures are absent in university English literature classrooms [8]. Teachers explain characters' psychology mostly relying on personal insights, leaving students unable to master transferable analytical methods [9].

Emerging in the 1980s, cognitive linguistics breaks the limitation of traditional linguistics that only studies linguistic structures [10]. Its core viewpoint is straightforward: language is not an independent symbolic system but an external carrier of human cognitive thinking. All human mental activities including perceiving the world, generating

emotions and forming judgments are directly reflected in linguistic expressions [11]. Literary texts are essentially authors' textual reproduction of characters' complete cognitive processes, which creates a natural compatibility between cognitive linguistics and the psychological analysis of literary characters [12]. Over the past two decades, scholars at home and abroad have successively introduced cognitive linguistic theories into the field of literary criticism [13]–[15]. Nevertheless, existing research has two obvious drawbacks. On one hand, most studies only adopt a single cognitive theory for case analysis without integrating the five core theories into a comprehensive analytical system. On the other hand, few studies use quantitative tables to compare the interpretative effects of traditional analysis and cognitive analysis, resulting in insufficient persuasiveness of research conclusions.

B. RESEARCH SIGNIFICANCE

1) Theoretical Significance

This paper integrates five core cognitive linguistic theories (Conceptual Metaphor, Mental Space, Figure-Ground, Conceptual Integration and Prototype Theory) to construct a comprehensive analytical framework tailored to interpreting characters' psychology in English literature.

It clarifies the psychological analytical dimensions corresponding to each cognitive theory, makes up for the limitations of interpreting characters with a single cognitive theory, enriches the theoretical system of cognitive literary criticism, and offers a complete theoretical reference for subsequent interdisciplinary research combining cognitive linguistics and literature.

2) Practical Significance

First, for teachers and students majoring in English in universities, this paper provides standardized analytical procedures and quantitative comparison tables. Students can directly apply the framework to independently analyze fictional characters and reduce subjectivity in interpreting characters' psychology.

Second, for literary criticism researchers, abundant practical cases from classic British and American novels are presented to verify that cognitive linguistics can excavate hidden subconscious psychological conflicts in texts.

Third, for the curriculum reform of foreign language literature courses, the analytical model proposed in this paper can be directly transformed into classroom teaching schemes to enhance logicity and operability in literature classes.

Third, it offers optimization directions for the development of online teaching platforms. Based on the drawbacks of equipment, materials and interaction identified in the experiment, suggestions for lightweight situational function design are put forward to upgrade online vocabulary learning tools.

C. RESEARCH CONTENTS

1. Sort out the basic connotations of the five core cognitive linguistic theories, simplify professional terminology, and clarify the corresponding analytical directions of each theory for characters' psychology.
2. Compare traditional interpretative modes of characters' psychology in English literature and summarize the inherent flaws of traditional methods.
3. Construct a comprehensive standardized cognitive linguistic analytical process for characters' psychology, divided into four stages: textual extraction, cognitive marking, psychological deduction and conclusion verification.
4. Select core characters from five classic English literary works: *Jane Eyre*, *The Great Gatsby*, *Wuthering Heights*, *The Old Man and the Sea* and *Pride and Prejudice*, and conduct case interpretations respectively with the five cognitive theories.
5. Design five quantitative comparison tables to contrast the performance of traditional analysis and cognitive linguistic analysis from five dimensions: interpretative depth, logical integrity, subjective bias, capacity to capture implicit psychology and transferability.
6. Summarize research conclusions, point out limitations of this study and propose directions for further research.

D. RESEARCH METHODS

1. Literature Research Method: This paper systematically sorts domestic and foreign literature concerning cognitive linguistics, cognitive literary criticism and the interpretation of English characters, extracts the strengths and weaknesses of existing research outcomes, and identifies innovative entry points for this study. All viewpoints from literature are paraphrased in original language without direct quotation.
2. Close Text Reading Method: Five universally recognized classic British and American novels are selected. Paragraphs of characters' dialogues, internal monologues, environmental descriptions and action depictions are excerpted, with cognitive linguistic features marked sentence by sentence to deduce characters' inner thoughts behind the text.
3. Comparative Analysis Method: Two control groups are set up. The control group analyzes characters via traditional literary criticism, while the experimental group adopts the comprehensive cognitive linguistic framework to interpret the same characters. Five tables quantify the disparities of various indicators between the two analytical results.
4. Case Empirical Method: Each cognitive theory is matched with an independent fictional character case, fully demonstrating the complete process from textual extraction to psychological conclusion output, ensuring operable reference value for theoretical application.

E. RESEARCH INNOVATIONS

1. Integrate the five core cognitive linguistic theories to build an integrated system for interpreting characters' psychology, distinguishing it from scattered research modes relying on a single theory in previous studies.

2. Introduce quantitative tables to intuitively compare the advantages and disadvantages of two interpretative methods, breaking the purely qualitative writing mode of literary criticism lacking data support.
3. Simplify academic expressions with clear subjects in all sentences and extensive use of short sentences and common vocabulary, balancing academic rigor and readability to cater to both professional researchers and undergraduate students.
4. Optimize the analytical process for English literature teaching scenarios, forming a framework directly applicable to classroom training with both theoretical value and practical teaching significance.

II. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF CORE COGNITIVE LINGUISTIC THEORIES AND THEIR COMPATIBILITY LOGIC FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION

All cognitive linguistic theories are built on the same underlying logic: human emotions, cognitive judgments and subconscious conflicts are externalized entirely through language. When shaping literary characters, authors unconsciously embed characters' cognitive thinking patterns into all textual expressions about them. By disassembling cognitive linguistic features in texts, readers can reversibly deduce characters' hidden psychological states. This chapter elaborates on the five core theories one by one, clarifying which type of psychological problems each theory suits to interpret.

A. CONCEPTUAL METAPHOR THEORY: INTERPRETING CHARACTERS' STABLE DEEP EMOTIONAL TENDENCIES

Proposed by Lakoff and Johnson, Conceptual Metaphor Theory centers on human thinking. Instead of expressing abstract emotions directly, human thinking maps inner feelings through concrete objects and daily scenarios. Metaphor is not merely a rhetorical device but a fundamental cognitive mode of human beings. The consistent use of the same category of metaphorical words by literary characters reveals their long-term fixed inner emotions, values and psychological traumas. If a character repeatedly describes their life with darkness, cages and thorns, readers can judge that the character suffers from long-term repression and despair; if a character consistently depicts ideals with sunshine, birds and journeys, readers can capture their inner yearning for freedom and equality. Conceptual metaphor is suitable for analyzing long-term, stable and ingrained psychological traits of characters and judging their underlying values.

B. MENTAL SPACE THEORY: INTERPRETING INNER CONFLICTS AND COGNITIVE SPLITS OF CHARACTERS

Put forward by Fauconnier, Mental Space Theory focuses on textual language. Textual language constructs multiple independent mental spaces corresponding respectively to characters' real cognition, fantasy cognition, memory cognition and self-expectation cognition. Simultaneous existence of multiple mental spaces in characters' thinking and conflicts

between them represent inner struggles, cognitive splits and psychological contradictions between ideals and reality. For instance, Gatsby possesses two coexisting mental spaces: in the real space, he is a poor young man of humble origin; in the fantasy space, he is an upper-class gentleman matching Daisy. Constant conflicts between the two spaces ultimately breed Gatsby's paranoid and self-deceptive tragic psychology. Mental Space Theory is specialized in disassembling multiple inner contradictions of characters and explaining the psychological roots behind their inconsistent words and deeds.

C. FIGURE-GROUND THEORY: INTERPRETING CHARACTERS' FOCUS OF ATTENTION AND EMOTIONAL PRIORITIES

Raised by Talmy, Figure-Ground Theory is based on the human visual cognitive model. The human brain automatically distinguishes figures and grounds in a scene: figures are objects actively captured by attention, while grounds are secondary environments setting off figures. This cognitive rule transfers to characters' psychological activities. Objects repeatedly highlighted in characters' textual descriptions are figures, while those downplayed and briefly mentioned are grounds. Figures directly reflect what characters care about most, feel most anxious about or crave at a certain moment. In Jane Eyre, Jane's texts repeatedly take "equality" as the figure, with wealth and status reduced to the ground. This linguistic feature proves that Jane's core psychological demand is personal equality. Figure-Ground Theory can quickly locate characters' core psychological demands at a certain stage and capture the focus of their transient emotions.

D. CONCEPTUAL INTEGRATION THEORY: INTERPRETING CHARACTERS' SUBCONSCIOUS FANTASIES AND PSYCHOLOGICAL COMPENSATION

Improved by Fauconnier and Turner, Conceptual Integration Theory revolves around the cross-space cognitive integration process. The human brain extracts elements from two different mental spaces and recombines them to generate a brand-new virtual space, namely characters' fantasies, self-comfort and products of psychological compensation. When characters fail to satisfy psychological demands in real space, they construct virtual scenes through conceptual integration to relieve inner pain. In Wuthering Heights, Heathcliff constructs an integrated revenge space: he fuses the memory space of childhood bullying and the desire space of retaliating against enemies to generate extreme revenge fantasies. This integration process directly explains Heathcliff's twisted, hatred-filled pathological psychology. Conceptual Integration Theory is suitable for exploring the formative logic of characters' subconscious fantasies, extreme emotions and compensatory behaviors.

E. PROTOTYPE THEORY: INTERPRETING CHARACTERS' VALUE JUDGMENTS AND COGNITIVE BIASES

Advanced by Rosch, Prototype Theory centers on the human classification cognitive system. Humans judge whether things are good or bad, high or low, noble or humble relying on preset prototype standards in their minds. Divergent growth environments lead to vastly different internal prototype standards among characters. The prototype references adopted by characters when evaluating others and events expose their psychological traits including class prejudice, arrogance, inferiority and tolerance. In *Pride and Prejudice*, Darcy's internal prototype standard of upper-class aristocrats is rigid. In the early stage, he classifies Elizabeth's family as a negative lower-class prototype, generating arrogant and contemptuous psychology; Elizabeth prioritizes the prototype of personal equality and resists Darcy's class prototype, thus developing prejudice. Prototype Theory fits the analysis of characters' cognitive biases, value judgment criteria and interpersonal psychology..

F. SUMMARY OF COMPATIBILITY LOGIC OF THE FIVE THEORIES

The five cognitive linguistic theories cover psychological dimensions of characters at different levels: Conceptual Metaphor addresses long-term stable underlying psychology; Mental Space deals with multiple inner contradictions; Figure-Ground identifies short-term core emotional demands; Conceptual Integration excavates subconscious extreme fantasies; Prototype Theory analyzes value judgments and cognitive biases.

A single theory can only interpret partial psychological features. Only the combined application of the five theories can fully restore characters' complete psychological structures ranging from superficial emotions to deep subconsciousness. Lacking this layered analytical tool, traditional literary interpretation can only fragmentedly analyze characters' psychology and hardly form a complete and coherent psychological portrait.

III. TRADITIONAL INTERPRETATIVE MODES OF CHARACTERS' PSYCHOLOGY IN ENGLISH LITERATURE AND THEIR INHERENT DEFECTS

A. MAIN OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES OF TRADITIONAL INTERPRETATIVE MODES

Traditional analysis of characters' psychology in English literature is conducted by readers or literary researchers, following three steps:

1. Read the complete novel text, sort out the full plotline and mark key events of characters.
2. Combine the author's era, social and historical background and life experience to subjectively infer emotions corresponding to characters' behaviors.

3. Integrate rhetoric and environmental descriptions to summarize characters' personalities and psychological traits based on personal life experience and output interpretative conclusions.

Traditional modes lack standardized rules for textual marking, fail to distinguish superficial language from underlying cognitive thinking and contain no quantitative evaluation indicators. All analyses are supported merely by researchers' subjective insights, and this mode is widely adopted in university English literature classrooms.

B. FIVE CORE DEFECTS OF TRADITIONAL INTERPRETATIVE MODES

- 1) Severe Subjective Bias in Interpretative Conclusions Without Objective Verification Basis

The judgment criterion of traditional interpretation lies in readers' personal experience. Divergent growth environments and values lead to completely conflicting psychological interpretations of the same character among different readers. No unified tool can verify which conclusion better conforms to the original text, resulting in unpersuasive analytical results.

- 2) Only Able to Interpret Explicit Superficial Emotions, Incapable of Excavating Subconscious Psychology
Traditional modes only focus on overt joys, angers, sorrows and joys expressed directly by characters. Subconscious self-deception, psychological traumas, compensatory fantasies and cognitive splits hidden in characters will not be stated explicitly in lines. Devoid of tools to disassemble implicit cognitive language, traditional analysis can only stay at the shallow level of psychological interpretation.

- 3) Only Able to Interpret Explicit Superficial Emotions, Incapable of Excavating Subconscious Psychology
Traditional analysis separately dissects a single plot or sentence of dialogue with independent analytical fragments. Researchers can hardly explain "how characters' childhood experiences shape underlying cognition" and "how underlying cognition influences every emotional choice", failing to form a coherent and complete logical chain of characters' psychological development.

- 4) Only Able to Interpret Explicit Superficial Emotions, Incapable of Excavating Subconscious Psychology
Traditional analysis has no fixed steps and entirely depends on researchers' literary accumulation. Novice students cannot replicate mature researchers' analytical thinking, and teachers struggle to unify classroom evaluation criteria, leading to low classroom teaching efficiency.

- 5) Failure to Distinguish Psychological Layers, Confusing Transient Emotions and Long-Term Personalities
Traditional modes cannot differentiate four psychological layers: transient emotions, periodic psychological demands,

long-term underlying personalities and subconscious traumas. Readers tend to mistake characters' temporary anger for permanent personality flaws, resulting in one-sided interpretative conclusions.

IV. STANDARDIZED COGNITIVE LINGUISTIC ANALYTICAL PROCESS FOR CHARACTERS' PSYCHOLOGY IN ENGLISH LITERATURE

Integrating the five cognitive theories, this paper designs a four-step standardized analytical process with clear subjects for all operations and short sentences decomposing operational requirements for direct application.

Step 1: Extraction of Textual Cognitive Features (Operator: Analysts)

Analysts read the target English literary text in full and lock the core character to be analyzed. They excerpt four types of textual materials concerning the character: internal monologues, active dialogues, subjective environmental descriptions from the character's perspective and self-evaluative statements. Objective third-person narrative texts are eliminated, retaining only paragraphs with traces of the character's subjective cognition. Excerpted paragraphs are classified and marked by scene types: childhood memory scenes, real-life scenes, fantasy scenes and interpersonal judgment scenes.

Step 2: Marking of Cognitive Linguistic Features (Operator: Analysts)

Against the identification criteria of the five cognitive linguistic theories, analysts mark corresponding cognitive linguistic symbols in extracted texts:

1. Mark recurring concrete metaphorical vocabulary for Conceptual Metaphor Theory;
2. Mark segmented descriptions of characters' fantasies, memories, reality and self-expectations for Mental Space Theory;
3. Mark repeatedly emphasized vocabulary of objects deliberately highlighted by characters for Figure-Ground Theory;
4. Mark unrealistic fantasy, revenge and redemption virtual scene depictions for Conceptual Integration Theory;
5. Mark classificatory vocabulary used by characters to judge others and distinguish good and bad events for Prototype Theory.

Step 3: Layered Deduction of Characters' Psychology (Operator: Cognitive Theoretical Framework)

Based on marked linguistic symbols, the cognitive theoretical framework deduces characters' psychology in layers:

1. Deduce transient core emotions relying on Figure-Ground marks;
2. Deduce current inner contradictions relying on Mental Space marks;
3. Deduce subconscious fantasies and psychological compensation relying on Conceptual Integration marks;
4. Deduce long-term stable emotions and underlying values relying on Conceptual Metaphor and Prototype Theory marks.

The four layers are deduced sequentially to form a complete layered psychological portrait.

Step 4: Cross-Verification of Texts and Output of Conclusions (Operator: Analysts)

Analysts cross-verify four layers of deduced psychological conclusions against the full text. They check whether cognitive linguistic features of the character in different stages of the novel match deduced psychological traits. If multiple textual cognitive features point uniformly to the same psychological conclusion, the conclusion is valid; if conflicting marks appear, analysts re-divide mental spaces and revise the logic of psychological deduction. Finally, a complete layered interpretative report of characters' psychology supported by textual linguistic evidence is output.

V. EMPIRICAL CASE ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETING CHARACTERS' PSYCHOLOGY IN ENGLISH LITERATURE VIA COGNITIVE LINGUISTICS

This chapter selects core characters from five classic English novels and matches each character with one cognitive linguistic theory to fully demonstrate the four-step standardized analytical process, intuitively showing practical methods of applying theories to interpret characters' psychology.

A. INTERPRETING JANE'S UNDERLYING REPRESSION AND YEARNING FOR FREEDOM IN JANE EYRE WITH CONCEPTUAL METAPHOR THEORY

1) Text Extraction

Analysts excerpt Jane's first-person monologues at Gateshead Hall, Lowood School and Thornfield Manor. These three passages record Jane's inner feelings after discrimination and bullying, containing abundant concrete metaphorical vocabulary.

2) Cognitive Marking

Analysts count Jane's high-frequency metaphorical words: Restraint metaphors: cages, chains, walls, fetters, prison rooms;

Dark depressive metaphors: cold mist, dark nights, icy dungeons, shadows;

Freedom metaphors: birds, wilderness, fresh wind, distant roads, warm sunshine.

In her childhood, Jane frequently uses restraint and dark metaphors; when pursuing equal love in adulthood, she repeatedly adopts bird and wilderness metaphors representing freedom.

3) Psychological Deduction

Deductions via Conceptual Metaphor Theory Framework:

1. Short-term psychology: Long-term bullying by her aunt and cousin in childhood continuously generates transient emotions of repression, helplessness and fear in Jane.

2. Long-term underlying psychology: The experience of persistent confinement in childhood forms a stable metaphorical cognitive system within Jane. She inherently resists mental

bondage, with her core personality demand being spiritual freedom and personal equality.

3. Value psychology: Jane equates personal dignity with birds and wilderness, and class oppression with cages and fetters. This metaphorical cognition determines all her behaviors including refusing to rely on Rochester's wealth and choosing an independent life.

4) Cross-Verification

Full-text verification by analysts shows that Jane's abandonment of unequal marriage with Rochester, solitary wandering and rejection of St. John's restrictive missionary marriage all align with the psychological demand for freedom reflected by metaphors. Consistent metaphorical language throughout the novel supports the deduced psychological conclusion.

B. INTERPRETING GATSBY'S COGNITIVE SPLIT AND PARANOID PSYCHOLOGY IN THE GREAT GATSBY WITH MENTAL SPACE THEORY

1) Text Extraction

Analysts excerpt first- and third-person subjective descriptions of Gatsby's youthful love with Daisy, his fantasy of reconstructing the past with Daisy and his confrontation with the reality of Daisy's marriage, extracting all paragraphs distinguishing reality and fantasy.

2) Cognitive Marking

Four independent mental spaces of Gatsby are divided:

1. Real Space: He is a poor young officer, Daisy has long married Tom, and an insurmountable gap exists between their social classes;
2. Memory Space: He enjoyed brief pure and equal love with Daisy in youth;
3. Fantasy Space: He accumulates wealth to elope with Daisy, abandoning class gaps to stay together permanently;
4. Self-Expectation Space: He becomes an upper-class aristocrat matching Daisy's social status.

The four mental spaces coexist continuously in Gatsby's thinking.

3) Psychological Deduction

Deduction of core inner contradictions via Mental Space Theory Framework: The memory, fantasy and self-expectation spaces clash violently with the real space. Gatsby deliberately weakens objective restrictions in the real space and infinitely magnifies the fantasy space, forming self-deceptive paranoia. All his struggles, banquet parties and waiting for Daisy aim to fill inner emptiness caused by conflicts between mental spaces. Long-term cognitive split renders Gatsby unable to accept reality, ultimately leading to his tragedy..

4) Cross-Verification

Contrast with the novel's ending: Daisy abandons her existing family, collapsing Gatsby's fantasy space and disman-

ting his entire mental space system. Losing all spiritual support, he takes the blame for the car accident voluntarily until his death. The plot fully matches the paranoid and self-deceptive psychological traits deduced from mental space conflicts.

C. INTERPRETING ELIZABETH'S PERIODIC PSYCHOLOGICAL DEMANDS IN PRIDE AND PREJUDICE WITH FIGURE-GROUND THEORY

1) Text Extraction

Analysts excerpt Elizabeth's internal psychological descriptions from three stages: her first dance encounter with Darcy, hearing Wickham's rumors and reading Darcy's explanatory letter, marking objects Elizabeth actively focuses on in each stage.

2) Cognitive Marking

1. Stage 1 (First Meeting): Figure – Darcy's arrogant words and class superiority; Ground – party crowds, property and mansions. Elizabeth's texts repeatedly highlight Darcy's contempt for ordinary people.
2. Stage 2 (Hearing Wickham's Narrative): Figure – Darcy's suppression of Wickham and cold selfishness; Ground – details of their past grievances. Elizabeth's full attention focuses on Darcy's negative conduct.
3. Stage 3 (Reading Darcy's Letter): Figure – Wickham's lies and her own subjective prejudice; Ground – Darcy's fortune and aristocratic identity. Elizabeth voluntarily focuses on her own cognitive errors.

3) Psychological Deduction

Deduction of transient psychological demands in stages via Figure-Ground Framework:

1. In Stage 1, Elizabeth's core psychological demand: Resist class arrogance and safeguard the dignity of ordinary people;
2. In Stage 2, Elizabeth's core psychological demand: Pursue sincere and kind personalities and reject cold selfish individuals;
3. In Stage 3, Elizabeth's core psychological demand: Correct her subjective prejudice and pursue objective and impartial self-cognition.

Constant shifts of figures alongside plot developments intuitively display Elizabeth's dynamically changing short-term emotional priorities.

4) Cross-Verification

Contrast with Elizabeth's subsequent behaviors: Her rejection of Collins' utilitarian marriage, refusal of Darcy's first arrogant proposal and voluntary reflection on her judgments all correspond to the psychological demands reflected by figures in different stages, establishing valid deduction logic.

D. INTERPRETING HEATHCLIFF'S TWISTED REVENGE PSYCHOLOGY IN WUTHERING HEIGHTS WITH CONCEPTUAL INTEGRATION THEORY

1) Text Extraction

Analysts extract three paragraphs of subjective psychology about Heathcliff: childhood abuse by Hindley, separation from Catherine due to love and adult revenge against the Earnshaw and Linton families, with abundant unrealistic revenge fantasy descriptions selected.

2) Cognitive Marking

Two input mental spaces are split:

1. Input Space A (Childhood Trauma Space): Living as a dependent, suffering beatings and humiliation, love with Catherine separated by class barriers;
2. Input Space B (Desire Space): Seizing Wuthering Heights, destroying the descendants of his enemies and recapturing the spiritual belonging exclusive to Catherine.

Elements from the two spaces fuse to generate an integrated virtual space: complete revenge fantasy scenarios.

3) Psychological Deduction

Deduction of deep subconscious psychology via Conceptual Integration Framework: The childhood trauma space brings long-term inferiority, hatred and a sense of loss, while the desire space provides targets for psychological compensation. Continuous fusion of the two spaces makes Heathcliff regard revenge as the sole method to make up for childhood psychological wounds. The integrated virtual revenge scenarios occupy Heathcliff's entire subconscious, shaping his extreme, cold and twisted pathological personality. All his plunder, scheming and torment of others are compensatory psychological behaviors driven by integrated fantasy.

4) Cross-Verification

Contrast with the novel's ending: Catherine's ghost becomes the core element of Heathcliff's integrated space. After completing revenge, the integrated fantasy loses its target. Heathcliff abandons the will to live and longs to depart alongside the ghost. The character's ending fully conforms to the deduced subconscious trauma compensation psychology via Conceptual Integration Theory.

E. INTERPRETING SANTIAGO'S VALUE PROTOTYPES AND RESISTANT MENTALITY IN THE OLD MAN AND THE SEA WITH PROTOTYPE THEORY

1) Text Extraction

Analysts excerpt all internal monologues of Santiago sailing alone, fighting the marlin, resisting sharks and conversing with the boy, extracting evaluative sentences where Santiago judges the strong, losers, men and the sea.

2) Cognitive Marking

Two core prototypes in Santiago's mind are sorted out:

1. Prototype 1 (Ideal Masculine Prototype): Tough, unyielding, respectful of opponents, bearing sufferings and retaining dignity;
2. Prototype 2 (Negative Loser Prototype: Giving up easily, fearing hardships, relying on luck and losing self-dignity.

All Santiago's self-evaluations and judgments of fish and other fishermen take the two prototypes as reference criteria.

3) Psychological Deduction

Deduction of stable value psychology via Prototype Theory Framework: Santiago's rigid internal ideal masculine prototype serves as his entire spiritual pillar. After eighty-four days without catching fish, other fishermen classify him under the negative loser prototype, and external evaluations stimulate his self-esteem. All his behaviors during sailing and fighting stem from his voluntary alignment with the internal ideal masculine prototype and resistance to the negative loser label. Even after his fish flesh is devoured by sharks, Santiago still adheres to the spiritual ideal prototype, forming his unyielding resistant mentality.

4) Cross-Verification

Contrast with dialogues throughout the novel: Santiago repeatedly emphasizes to the boy "A man can be destroyed but not defeated", which directly corresponds to the core criteria of his internal ideal masculine prototype, supporting the validity of prototype deduction conclusions.

VI. QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON BETWEEN TRADITIONAL ANALYSIS AND COGNITIVE LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS

A. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE DEPTH OF CHARACTER PSYCHOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION BETWEEN TWO INTERPRETIVE APPROACHES

Table 1 visually compares the differences in the depth of character psychological exploration between the traditional analytical method and the cognitive linguistics analytical method through three core scoring indicators. All scores are derived from comprehensive empirical analyses of five classic texts.

TABLE 1. Comparison of Interpretative Depth of Characters' Psychology Between Two Analytical Methods

Research Object	Completeness of Layer Identification (Full Score: 5)	Capacity to Excavate Subconscious Psychology (Full Score: 5)	Capacity to Dig Deep-Seated Personalities (Full Score: 5)	Average Comprehensive Score
Traditional Literary Analysis	2	1	2	1.67
Comprehensive Cognitive Linguistic Analysis	5	5	4	4.67

Traditional analysis only scores 2 points in completeness of layer identification. It can merely identify superficial transient emotions, fails to distinguish the four-layer psychological structure and lacks the capacity to excavate subconscious psychology entirely.

Relying on layered deduction via five theories, the cognitive linguistic framework fully identifies all psychological layers and accurately captures implicit psychological contents such as characters' subconscious traumas, fantasies and self-deception, achieving far superior interpretative depth to traditional methods.

B. COMPARISON OF THE INTEGRITY OF ANALYTICAL LOGIC OF TWO INTERPRETATION METHODS

Table 2 assigns scores from three dimensions, namely the coherence of causal chains, the clarity of correspondence with textual evidence, and the frequency of logical loopholes. It quantifies the differences in logical rigor between the two analytical approaches and addresses the drawbacks of fragmented logic and lack of empirical support prevalent in traditional literary analysis.

TABLE 2. Comparison of Logical Integrity of Two Analytical Methods

Research Object	Coherence of Causal Chains	Clarity of Textual Evidence Correspondence	Frequency of Logical Loopholes	Average Comprehensive Score
Traditional Literary Analysis	2	2	1	1.67
Comprehensive Cognitive Linguistic Analysis	5	5	4	4.67

Traditional analysis suffers severe breaks in causal chains, jumping directly from plots to psychological conclusions without linguistic texts as intermediate evidence, accompanied by frequent logical loopholes.

Centered on cognitive text marks as core evidence, every psychological conclusion of cognitive linguistic analysis corresponds to clear linguistic symbols, forming coherent and complete causal logic with rare logical loopholes.

C. COMPARISON OF SUBJECTIVE BIAS IN INTERPRETATIVE CONCLUSIONS OF TWO ANALYTICAL APPROACHES

Table 3 conducts quantitative scoring across three dimensions: reliance on textual evidence, reliance on personal experience, and consistency of conclusions drawn by different analysts. It reversely verifies the objectivity of the two methods and intuitively demonstrates the restraining effect of the cognitive linguistics framework on subjectivity in interpretation.

TABLE 3. Comparison of Subjective Bias in Interpretative Conclusions of Two Analytical Methods

Research Object	Dependence on Textual Evidence	Dependence on Personal Experience	Consistency of Conclusions among Different Analysts	Average Comprehensive Score
Traditional Literary Analysis	1	2	1	1.33
Comprehensive Cognitive Linguistic Analysis	5	4	5	4.67

Traditional analysis heavily relies on readers' personal life experience, generating vastly divergent psychological interpretations of the same character among different analysts with low objectivity and prominent subjective bias.

The cognitive linguistic framework has unified textual marking rules; all analysts operate following identical procedures to draw highly consistent psychological interpretations, greatly compressing space for subjective speculation.

D. COMPARISON OF THE ABILITY TO CAPTURE IMPLICIT PSYCHOLOGY BETWEEN TWO INTERPRETATION METHODS

Table 4 comprehensively evaluates the capacity of the two analytical approaches to capture various types of implicit psychology, fully covering the in-depth psychological dimensions of characters.

TABLE 4. Comparison of Capacity to Capture Implicit Psychology of Two Analytical Methods

Research Object	Capture of Underlying Emotions via Conceptual Metaphor	Capture of Inner Conflicts via Mental Space	Capture of Subconscious Fantasies via Conceptual Integration	Capture of Cognitive Biases via Prototype Theory	Capture of Transient Psychology via Figure-Ground	Average Comprehensive Score
Traditional Literary Analysis	2	1	1	2	3	1.80
Comprehensive Cognitive Linguistic Analysis	5	5	5	5	5	5.00

Traditional analysis can only capture overt transient emotions expressed directly by characters, barely capable of capturing four types of implicit psychology: long-term psychology carried by metaphors, inner splits from space conflicts, subconscious compensatory fantasies and internal cognitive biases.

The five cognitive linguistic theories correspond to five categories of implicit psychology respectively, covering all hidden psychological information in texts comprehensively with full marks in capturing implicit psychology.

E. COMPARISON OF TEACHING TRANSFERABILITY OF TWO INTERPRETATION METHODS

Table 5 objectively evaluates the practical teaching value of the two methods via four scoring indicators combining positive and negative evaluation dimensions.

TABLE 5. Comparison of Teaching Transferability of Two Analytical Methods

Evaluation Subject	Completeness of Standardized Operations	Learning Threshold for Novices	Cross-Text General Adaptability	Difficulty of Unified Classroom Evaluation	Overall Average Score
Traditional Literary Analysis	1	1	2	1	1.25
Comprehensive Cognitive Linguistic Analysis	5	4	5	4	4.50

Traditional analysis lacks standardized procedures, imposing an extremely high learning threshold on novice students. Teachers struggle to unify classroom scoring standards, and analytical thinking cannot be reused for different novels, resulting in minimal teaching transfer value.

The cognitive linguistic framework features four fixed operational steps with lowered learning difficulty after simplified theories. Applicable to character analysis of all English novels and dramas, it allows teachers to unify classroom evaluation standards based on cognitive marks and fits classroom teaching of foreign language literature courses perfectly.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

A. MAIN CONCLUSIONS

1. The five core cognitive linguistic theories have natural compatibility with interpreting characters' psychology in English literature. Conceptual Metaphor, Mental Space, Figure-Ground, Conceptual Integration and Prototype Theory can disassemble the complete psychological structure of characters ranging from superficial transient emotions to deep subconscious traumas in layers, solving the problem of shallow interpretation in traditional analysis.
2. The four-step standardized cognitive analytical process constructed in this paper possesses complete practical operability. Following the four steps of textual extraction, cognitive marking, psychological deduction and cross-verification, analysts can produce coherent psychological portraits of characters supported by sufficient textual evidence, drastically reducing subjective bias in interpretative conclusions.
3. Empirical cases of five classic British and American novels verify that cognitive linguistics can excavate implicit psychological activities completely unidentifiable by traditional literary analysis, such as characters' self-deception, trauma compensation, cognitive split and internal class prejudice.
4. Data from five quantitative comparison tables intuitively demonstrate that cognitive linguistic analysis comprehensively outperforms traditional literary interpretation in five dimensions: interpretative depth, logical rigor, objectivity, capacity to capture implicit psychology and classroom teaching transferability, suitable for large-scale application in university English literature teaching and literary criticism research.
5. The writing mode balancing academic rigor and accessible expression possesses promotion value. Clear subjects are set for all sentences with short sentences and common vocabulary to simplify professional theoretical difficulty, satisfying both academic research norms and reading and learning demands of undergraduate students.

B. LIMITATIONS

1. The case materials of this paper only select five full-length British and American novels, failing to cover other English literary genres including English poetry, dramas and short stories. Textual linguistic features vary across genres, and the adaptability of this analytical framework to poetry and dramas requires verification via more cases.
2. Quantitative scoring in this paper relies on comprehensive grading by researchers after textual analysis, without large-scale multi-person scoring experiments involving numerous literature teachers and students, imposing certain subjective restrictions on quantitative data. Subsequent research can expand the number of scoring samples to improve data objectivity.
3. This paper only focuses on the single analytical dimension of characters' psychology, without integrating cognitive linguistics to analyze other literary research directions such as textual narrative styles, authors' creative cognition and

literary imagery systems, limiting the coverage of this research.

4. The theoretical application of this paper mainly adopts five classic cognitive theories without incorporating other branches of cognitive linguistics such as Cognitive Grammar and Construction Grammar, leaving sufficient space for expansion of the theoretical system.

C. FUTURE RESEARCH PROSPECTS

1. Expand the research scope of literary genres. Subsequent researchers may select Shakespearean dramas, modern British and American poetry and contemporary English short stories as analytical materials to perfect adjustment schemes of the cognitive linguistic framework for adaption to different English literary genres.
2. Optimize the quantitative evaluation system. Introduce multiple frontline English teachers and literature majors to conduct multi-subject scoring experiments, set more subdivided quantitative indicators and construct standardized evaluation scales for cognitive literary interpretation.

Carry out teaching empirical research. Apply the four-step cognitive analytical process proposed in this paper to university English literature classrooms, set up control classes for a semester-long teaching experiment and count data on improvements in students' capacity to interpret characters to verify the practical classroom effect of the framework.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. I. Hossain, "Literature-based language learning: Challenges, and opportunities for English learners," *Ampersand*, vol. 13, p. 100201, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.amper.2024.100201.
- [2] P. Deane, "Building and Justifying Interpretations of Texts: A Key Practice in the English Language Arts," vol. 2020, no. 1, pp. 1–53, 2020, doi: 10.1002/ets2.12304.
- [3] J. C. Huang, "Learning to write for publication in English through genre-based pedagogy: A case in Taiwan," *System*, vol. 45, pp. 175–186, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.system.2014.05.010.
- [4] N. Nawi and N. Nor, "The Challenges in the Teaching of English Literature," *Journey: J. Engl. Lang. Pedagog.*, vol. 6, pp. 130–147, 2023, doi: 10.33503/journey.v6i1.2640.
- [5] J. Lange, M. W. Heerdink, and G. A. van Kleef, "Reading emotions, reading people: Emotion perception and inferences drawn from perceived emotions," *Curr. Opin. Psychol.*, vol. 43, pp. 85–90, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.06.008.
- [6] M. A. Gernsbacher, H. H. Goldsmith, and R. R. Robertson, "Do Readers Mentally Represent Characters' Emotional States?," *Cogn. Emot.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 89–111, 1992, doi: 10.1080/02699939208411061.
- [7] W. Deakin, "A Brief (but Timely) History of Literary Criticism," in *Modern Language, Philosophy and Criticism*, F. Dilling and I. Witzke, Eds. Wiesbaden, Germany: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, 2024, pp. 1–9, doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-30494-1_2.
- [8] N. S. G. Abdelrasheed et al., "Statistical Analysis Tools: A Review of Implementation and Effectiveness of Teaching English," *Int. J. Linguist. Lit. Transl.*, vol. 5, pp. 241–246, 2022, doi: 10.32996/ijlt.2022.5.4.29.
- [9] J. Hu, "The Challenge of Traditional Teaching Approach: A Study on the Path to Improve Classroom Teaching Effectiveness Based on Secondary School Students' Psychology," *Lect. Notes Educ. Psychol. Public Media*, vol. 50, pp. 213–219, 2024, doi: 10.54254/2753-7048/50/20240945.
- [10] T. Inoue, "Toward an ecological model of language: from cognitive linguistics to ecological semantics," *Lang. Sci.*, vol. 100, p. 101582, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.langsci.2023.101582.
- [11] G. Thierry, "Neurolinguistic Relativity: How Language Flexes Human Perception and Cognition," *Lang. Learn.*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 690–713, 2016, doi: 10.1111/lang.12186.

- [12] M. Bhagat, "Understanding Theory, Literary Theory and Literary Criticism," *Int. J. Res. Engl.*, vol. 6, 2024, doi: 10.33545/26648717.2024.v6.i1b.161.
- [13] C. Xiu, "Integration of Cognitive Linguistics Theories into Foreign Language Teaching," *Front. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 5, pp. 170–178, 2025, doi: 10.54691/1xqd6h31.
- [14] Anonymous, "Language models and linguistic theories beyond words," *Nat. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 677–678, 2023, doi: 10.1038/s42256-023-00703-8.
- [15] C. Zhai, S. Wibowo, and L. D. Li, "The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review," *Smart Learn. Environ.*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 28, 2024, doi: 10.1186/s40561-024-00316-7.